Low Back Pain

Nabeel Kouka, MD, DO, MBA

<u>oini.l0lnisrd.www</u>

Epidemiology

Incidence of LBP:

- 60-90 % lifetime incidence
- 5 % annual incidence
- 90 % of cases of LBP resolve without treatment within 6-12 weeks
- 40-50 % LBP cases resolve without treatment in 1 week
- 75 % of cases with nerve root involvement can resolve in 6 months
- LBP and lumbar surgery are:
 - 2nd and 3rd highest reasons for physician visits
 - 5th leading cause for hospitalization
 - 3rd leading cause for surgery

Disability

Age and LBP:

- Leading cause of disability of adults < 45 years old
- Third cause of disability in those > 45 years old

Prevalence rate:

- Increased 140 % from 1991 to 2000 with only125 % population growth
- Nearly 5 million people in the U.S. are on disability for LBP

Lifetime Return to Work

Success of < 50 % if off work > 6 months

25 % success rate if off work > 1 year

Nearly 0 % success if return to work has not occurred in 2 years

Occupational Risk Factors

- Low job satisfaction
- Monotonous or repetitious work
- Educational level
- Adverse employer-employee relations
- Recent employment
- Frequent lifting
 - Especially exceeding 25 pounds
 - Utilization of poor body mechanics in technique

Differential Diagnoses

Lumbar Strain

- Disc Bulge / Protrusion / Extrusion producing Radiculopathy
- Degenerative Disc Disease (DDD)
- Spinal Stenosis
- Spondyloarthropathy
- Spondylosis
- Spondylolisthesis
- Sacro-iliac Dysfunction



Frequencies of Causes of LBP	
Mechanical LBP 97%	Non-Mechanical 1%
Lumbar sprain = Lumbago =70%	■ Neoplasia = 0.7 %
Disk/facet degeneration = 10%	Multiple Myeloma
Herniated disk = 4%	Lymphoma/leukemia
Spinal Stenosis = 3%	Spinal cord tumors
Osteopor. Compre. Frx = 4%	Primary vertebral tumors
Spondylolisthesis = 2%	Retroperitoneal tumors
Traumatic fractures = < 1%	■ INFECTION (0.01%)
Congenital < 1%	Osteomyelitis
Savara kunhasis	Paraspinal abscess
	Herpes Zoster
Severe Scoliosis	Spondyloarthropathy (0.3%)
Internal disk disruption	Ankylosing Spondylitis

Biomechanics

80% Anterior

20% Posterior

The 80-20 rule of Spine loading



"Biggest challenge is to identify the pain generator"

Diagnostic Tools

1. Laboratory:

- Performed primarily to screen for other disease etiologies
 - Infection
 - Cancer
 - Spondyloarthropathies
- No evidence to support value in first 7 weeks unless with red flags
- Specifics:
 - WBC
 - ESR or CRP
 - HLA-B27
 - Tumor markers: Kidney Breast Lung Thyroid Prostate

2. Radiographs:

- Pre-existing Degenerative Joint Disease (Osteoarthritis) is most common diagnosis
- Usually 3 views adequate with obliques only if equivocal findings
- Indications:
 - History of trauma with continued pain
 - < 20 years or > 55 years with severe or persistent pain
 - Noted spinal deformity on exam
 - Signs / symptoms suggestive of spondyloarthropathy
 - Suspicion for infection or tumor





- a vertebral body
- d rt. pedicle, en face
- i interfacetal joint
- ort. superior articular process
- r rt. inferior articular mass & facet
- Arrow absent pars = spondylolysis
- o1 rt. superior articular process & facet, subjacent vertebra
- d1 rt. pedicle, suprajacent vertebra
- p1 rt. subjacent intact pars

3. Electromylogram (EMG):

- Measures muscle function
- Can demonstrate radiculopathy or peripheral nerve entrapment, but may not be positive in the extremities for the first 3-6 weeks and paraspinals for the first 2 weeks
- Would not be appropriate in clinically obvious radiculopathy

4. Bone Scan:

- Very sensitive but nonspecific
- Useful for:
 - Malignancy screening
 - Detection for early infection
 - Detection for early or occult fracture



5. Myelogram:

- Procedure of injecting contrast material into the spinal canal with imaging via plain radiographs versus CT
- In past, considered the gold standard for evaluation of the spinal canal and determining the cause of pressure on the spinal cord or spinal nerves.
- With potential complications, as well as advent of MRI and CT, is less utilized:
 - More common: Headache, nausea / vomiting
 - Less common: Seizure, pain, neurological change, anaphylaxis
- Myelogram alone is rarely indicated.
- Hitselberger study 1968 <u>Journal of Neurosurgery</u>:
 - 24 % of asymptomatic subjects with defects



1 Spinal cord
2 Contrast in subarachnoid space
3 Intervertebral disc
4 Nerve rootlets of cauda equina



6. CT with Myelogram:

- Can demonstrate much better anatomical detail than Myelogram alone
- Utilized for:
 - Demonstrating anatomical detail in multilevel disease in pre-operative state
 - Determining nerve root compression etiology of disc versus osteophyte
 - Surgical screening tool if equivocal MRI or CT



A CT-myelogram sagittal 2D reconstructed image shows the expanding intraspinal low-density mass (arrow) surrounding by myelogram contrast.



A CT-myelogram coronal 2D reconstructed image shows the intraspinal lipoma (arrows). Note the displaced nerve roots to the left of the conus. A Tarlov cyst (nerve root sleeve cyst or diverticulum) of left S3 is incidentally noted (arrowhead).

7. CT:

- Best for bony changes of spinal or foraminal stenosis
- Also best for bony detail to determine:
 - Fracture
 - Degenerative Joint Disease (DJD)
 - Malignancy
- SW Wiesel study 1984 Spine:
 - 36 % of asymptomatic subjects had "HNP" at L4-L5 and L5-S1 levels

8. Discography (Diagnostic disc injection)

- Less utilized as initial diagnostic tool due to high incidence of false positives as well as advent of MRI
- Utilizations:
 - Diagnose internal disc derangement with normal MRI / Myelogram
 - Determine symptomatic level in multi-level disease

Criteria for response:

- Volume of contrast material accepted by the disc, with normals of 0.5 to 1.5 cc
- Resistance of disc to injection
- Production of pain MOST SIGNIFICANT
- Usually followed by CT to evaluate internal architecture, but also may utilize MRI

As outcome predictor (Coulhoun study 1988 <u>JBJS</u>):

- S9 % of those with pain response received benefit from surgery
- 52 % of those with structural change received surgical benefit

Discography

Clinical pain provocation test
 Test is positive only if:
 The disc is abnormal in appearance
 <u>AND</u>

Patient's clinical pain is provoked during injection



9. MRI

- Best diagnostic tool for:
 - Soft tissue abnormalities:
 - Infection
 - Bone marrow changes
 - Spinal canal and neural foraminal contents
 - Emergent screening:
 - Cauda equina syndrome
 - Spinal cored injury
 - Vascular occlusion
 - Radiculopathy
 - Benign vs. malignant compression fractures
 - Osteomyelitis evaluation
 - Evaluation with prior spinal surgery



- Has essentially replaced CT and Myelograms for initial evaluations
- Boden study 1990 JBJS:
 - 20 % of asymptomatic population < 60 years with "HNP"</p>
 - 36 % of asymptomatic population of 60 years
- Jensen study 1995 <u>NEJM</u>:
 - 52 % of asymptomatic patients with disc bulge at one or more levels
 - 27 % of asymptomatic patients with disc protrusion
 - 1 % of asymptomatic patients with disc extrusion

MRI with Gadolinium contrast:

- Gadolinium is contrast material allowing enhancement of intrathecal nerve roots
- Utilization:
 - Assessment of post-operative spine most frequent use
 - Identifying tumors / infection within / surrounding spinal cord
 - Diagnosis of radiculitis
- Post-operatively can take 2-6 months for reduction of mass effect on posterior disc and anterior epidural soft tissues which can resemble preoperative studies
- Only indications in immediate post-operative period:
 - Hemorrhage
 - Disc infection

10. Psychological tools:

- Utilized in case scenarios where psychological or emotional overlay of pain is suspected
 - Symptom magnification
 - Grossly abnormal pain drawing
 - Non-responsive to conservative interventions but with essentially normal diagnostic studies

Includes:

- Pain Assessment Report, which combines:
 - McGill Pain Questionnaire
 - Mooney Pain Drawing Test

• MMPI

- Middlesex Hospital Questionnaire
- Cornell Medical Index
- Eysenck Personality Inventory



Degeneration & Tears







sub 21 canal

> L4/5 mild central stenosis

Protrusion

sub 21 morph L5S1

central protrusion











Classification of Nerve Roots

Normal
Contacted
Displaced
Compressed

Normal Nerve Roots



Contacted Nerve Root



Contacted Nerve Root



Displaced Nerve Root



Compressed Nerve Root



Displaced & Compressed Nerve Root



Displaced and Compressed Nerve Root



Treatment

"Every thing doctors do is to help patients to avoid surgery"

Treatment

Pharmacological

- NSAIDS
- Muscle relaxents:
 - Re-establish sleep patterns
 - More useful in myofascial/muscular pain
- Membrane stabilizers
 - TCA / Neurontin
 - Re-establish sleep pain
 - Reduce radicular dysesthesias
- Narcotics: rarely indicated
 - Morphine, Oxy/hydrocodone, Oxymorphone Hydromorphone, Fentanyl, Methadone
- Steroids: more useful for radiculitis
- Non-narcotic analgesics: Ultram (Tramadol)

Physical Therapy

- Modalities
 - Electrical Stimulation/TENS
 - Postural Education / Body Mechanics
 - Massage / Mobilization / Myofascial Release
 - Stretching / Body Work
 - Exercise / Strengthening
 - Traction
 - Pre-conditioning / Work-conditioning

Injections (Neural blockade)

- Epidural blocks
- Facet blocks
- Trigger point
- SI joint

noitelugineM sintegoeteO

- Manipulation & Mobilization
- Central & unilat PAs, Transverse
- Specific Passive Physiological Rxs
- Several tqs performed during 1 Rx session



9 Rxs over 3 wks





EFFICACY OF SPINAL MANIPULATIVE THERAPY FOR LOW BACK PAIN OF LESS THAN THREE MONTHS' DURATION

Manuela L. Ferreira, MSc,^a Paulo H. Ferreira, MSc,^b Jane Latimer, PhD,^c Robert Herbert, PhD,^d and Christopher G. Maher, PhD^e

Review of 27 SMT trials for acute NSLBP

- SMT produces better outcomes than placebo, no Rx, & massage.
 - SMT vs placebo: -18mm (-24 to -13)
 - SMT vs no Rx: -17mm (-26 to -8)
 [Pain reduction, 100mm VAS, ≤4/52]
- SMT & 'usual physiotherapy', & 'usual medical care' appear to produce similar outcomes.
 - SMT vs medical care: -4mm (-14 to 6)
 [Pain reduction, 100mm VAS, ≤4/52]

Psychological therapy
 Behavioral treatments (chronic LBP)
 Biofeedback
 Alternative Therapy
 Acupuncture
 Multiclisciplinary approaches

Interventional Therapy

Sympathetic

- Diagnostic
- Therapeutic
- Neurolytic
- Enolitación la constructiona de la construcción de la construcción
- Implantation technology
 - Intrathecal pumps
 - Neuromodulation
 - Spinal cord stimulation
 - Peripheral nerve stimulation

- Laminectomy
- Hemilaminectomy
- Discectomy
- Fusion
 - Instrumented
 - Non-instrumented fusion
- Minimally Invasive Spine Surgery (MISS)
 - Kyphoplasty
 - Percutaneous Disc Decompression (PDD)

Spine Arthroplasty (Fusion w/Disc Prosthesis)

Indications

- Chronic low back pain +/- leg pain
 - Persisting > 6 months
 - Associated with degenerative disc changes
- Leg pain
 - Radicular
 - Pseudoradicular
- Foraminal stenosis
 - Secondary to disc space height loss
 - may be relieved indirectly by disc height restoration





Kyphoplasty

- It is used to treat painful progressive vertebral body collapse/fracture due to osteoporosis or the metastasis to the vertebral body.
- Accomplished by inserting a balloon into the center of the vertebral body (See Figure 1). Then the balloon is inflated (See Figure 2). This pushes the bone back towards its normal height and shape. It also helps create a cavity. Then the cavity is filled with the bone cement.



Percutaneous Disc Decompression (PDD)

Benefits:

- Outpatient procedure
- Minimal to no epidural scarring
- No general anesthesia
- Spine stability preservation
- Decreased cost
- Low rate of complications:
 - Infection
 - Peripheral nerve injury

Types of PDD

- Chemonucleolysis (w/Papain)
- Intradiscal Electrothermy (IDET[®]) or Spine CATH
- Laser Disc Decompression (LASE[®])
- Intradiscal Coblation[®] Therapy (Nucleoplasty[®])
- Mechanical Nuclear Removal (DeKompressor[®]).
- Endoscopic MISS

Endoscopic MISS

The Goal of Endoscopic MISS "Less is Better, But Less is More"

- Spinal Motion Preservation
- Non-fusion Technology
- Dynamic Stabilization
- Spinal Arthroplasty

Indications for Endoscopic MISS

- Patients with uncomplicated herniated discs/degenerative spine disease accompanied by the following:
 - Pain of back, neck, trunk, and limbs with neurological deficit
 - Pain that has not responded to conventional treatments, including physical therapy, medication, exercise, rest for at least eight twelve weeks
 - A positive CT scan, MRI scan, myelogram, and positive discogram for disc herniation
 - Positive virtual 3D endoscopic findings, and EMG findings are helpful

Contraindications for Endoscopic MISS

- Evidence of pathologies such as fracturedislocation, large spinal tumors, pregnancy, or active infections
- Clinical findings that suggest pathology other than degenerative discogenic disease (e.g. multiple sclerosis, vascular anomalies, degenerative myelopathy)
- Evidence of neurologic or vascular pathologies mimicking a herniated disc
- Evidence of acute or progressive spinal cord disease
- Cauda equina syndrome
- Painless motor deficit

Possible Rx for chronic LBP European Guidelines 2004

- **Conservative treatments**: Cognitive behavioural therapy, supervised exercise therapy, brief educational interventions, multidisciplinary (biopsycho-social) treatment, back schools, manipulation/mobilisation, heat/cold, traction, laser, ultrasound, short wave, interferential, massage, corsets, TENS.
- Pharmacological treatments: NSAIDs, weak opioids, noradrenergic or noradrenergicserotoninergic antidepressants, muscle relaxants, capsicum plasters, Gabapentin.
- Invasive treatments: Acupuncture, epidural corticosteroids, intra-articular (facet) steroid injections,local facet nerve blocks, trigger point injections, botulinum toxin, radiofrequency facet denervation, intradiscal radiofrequency lesioning, intradiscal electrothermal therapy, radiofrequency lesioning of the dorsal root ganglion, spinal cord stimulation, intradiscal injections, prolotherapy, percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (PENS), neuroreflexotherapy, surgery.

Recommended Treatments European Guidelines 2004

- Conservative treatments: Cognitive behavioural therapy, supervised exercise therapy, brief educational interventions, multidisciplinary (bio-psycho-social) treatment, back schools, manipulation/mobilisation, heat/cold, traction, laser, ultrasound, short wave, interferential, massage, corsets, TENS.
- Pharmacological treatments: NSAIDs, weak opioids, noradrenergic or noradrenergicserotoninergic antidepressants, muscle relaxants, capsicum plasters, Gabapentin.
- **Invasive treatments**: Acupuncture, epidural corticosteroids, intra-articular (facet) steroid injections, local facet nerve blocks, trigger point injections, botulinum toxin, radiofrequency facet denervation, intradiscal radiofrequency lesioning, intradiscal electrothermal therapy, radiofrequency lesioning of the dorsal root ganglion, spinal cord stimulation, intradiscal injections, prolotherapy, percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (PENS), neuroreflexotherapy, surgery.

Recommended under some situation

European Guidelines 2004

- **Conservative treatments**: Cognitive behavioural therapy, supervised exercise therapy, brief educational interventions, multidisciplinary (bio-psycho-social) treatment, **back schools**, **manipulation/mobilisation**, heat/cold, traction, laser, ultrasound, short wave, interferential, massage, corsets, TENS.
- Pharmacological treatments: NSAIDs, weak opioids, noradrenergic or noradrenergicserotoninergic antidepressants, muscle relaxants, capsicum plasters, Gabapentin.
- **Invasive treatments:** Acupuncture, epidural corticosteroids, intra-articular (facet) steroid injections, local facet nerve blocks, trigger point injections, botulinum toxin, radiofrequency facet denervation, intradiscal radiofrequency lesioning, intradiscal electrothermal therapy, radiofrequency lesioning of the dorsal root ganglion, spinal cord stimulation, intradiscal injections, prolotherapy, percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (PENS), neuroreflexotherapy, surgery.

Not Recommended

European Guidelines 2004

- **Conservative treatments**: Cognitive behavioural therapy, supervised exercise therapy, brief educational interventions, multidisciplinary (bio-psycho-social) treatment, back schools, manipulation/mobilisation, heat/cold, traction, laser, ultrasound, short wave, interferential, massage, corsets, TENS.
- Pharmacological treatments: NSAIDs, weak opioids, noradrenergic or noradrenergicserotoninergic antidepressants, muscle relaxants, capsicum plasters, Gabapentin.
- Invasive treatments: Acupuncture, epidural corticosteroids, intra-articular (facet) steroid injections,local facet nerve blocks, trigger point injections, botulinum toxin, radiofrequency facet denervation, intradiscal radiofrequency lesioning, intradiscal electrothermal therapy, radiofrequency lesioning of the dorsal root ganglion, spinal cord stimulation, intradiscal injections, prolotherapy, percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (PENS), neuroreflexotherapy, surgery.

Results: Acute LBP

 Effective: <u>Advice to Stay Active</u>, NSAIDs & Muscle Relaxants
 Not effective: Bed Rest & Specific Exercises

voi eonebive inefaianoo old atroqquZ radmul & erutonuquoA

Results: Chronic LBP

Effective: Exercise Therapy, Osteopathic Manipulations, Behavioural Therapy & Multiclisciplinary pain treatment programs

Likely to be effective: Back Schools & Massage

Not effective: TENS

No consistent evidence for: Acupuncture; Facet, Epidural & Local Injections; Lumbar Supports

Results: Disc Prolapse Surgery

No difference between Micro- & Standard Discectomy

Chemonucleolysis produced better clinical outcomes than Percutaneous Discectomy & Placebo

Surgical Discectomy produced better clinical outcomes than Chemonucleolysis with Chymopapain